Feedback as a Means to Improve Health Care

Jamie C. Brehaut

Centre for Implementation Research Launch

Jan.19, 2018





Feedback in Health Care should be an easy way to improve practice

- Data increasingly available and easy to obtain
- Providers are professionals; want to do their jobs well
- Physicians are high-achievers (even competitive); show them where they are under-performing, they will want to improve


Hospital type: teaching hospital

Number of patients of all ages admitted: 366 Number of patients ≥65 years old admitted: 150

1) Percent of Patients ≥65 Years Old Filling a Prescription for Beta-blockers Within 30 Days Post-discharge


Target rate:	85%
Your hospital:	50%
Average for Quebec teaching hospitals (SD):	67% (5)
Quebec average (SD):	57% (4)







3) Percent of Patients ≥65 Years Old Filling a Prescription for ACE Inhibitors Within 30 Days Post-discharge

Target rate:	85%
Your hospital:	53%
Average for Quebec teaching hospitals (SD): Quebec average (SD):	58% (5) 48% (2)






2) Percent of Patients ≥65 Years Old Filling a Prescription for Aspirin Within 30 Days Post-discharge





0



4) Percent of Patients ≥65 Years Old Filling a Prescription for Lipid-lowering Drugs Within 30 Days Post-discharge

	•	
Target rate:		70%
Your hospital:		25%
Average for Que	ebec teaching hospitals (SD):	50% (4)
Quebec averag	e (SD):	40% (5)





- Reported in JAMA
- Can Hospital admin data improve quality of cardiac care?
- Hospital report cards to 77 hospitals in Quebec
- 12 outcomes, 2 histograms per
- Sent to directors of services
- Feedback sent once based on data from previous year

The Good News

"a summary of clinical performance over a specific period of time (audit), and the provision of that summary (feedback) to individual practitioners, teams, or healthcare organizations"

Cochrane review (Ivers et al 2012)

- 140 trials of A&F
- 4% mean absolute improvement, IQR +0.5% to 16%.

Brehaut t & Eva (2012). Implementation Science

Ivers et al. (2012). Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

The Bad News

- Not improving over time
- Feedback designed NOW not likely to be more effective than feedback from 20-25 years ago.
- Potential for wasted resources is high

We know A&F works, but not <u>how</u> it works

- What are the mechanisms by which feedback works?
- Many different disciplines understand feedback in different ways

We interviewed 28 theory experts from:

- Psychology (social, health, cognitive, organizational)
- Human factors
- Medical education
- Economics
- Management

Perspectives on Feedback

Feedback as...

- A cognitive challenge
- A method for directing attention
- A motivator/de-motivator
- A reflection of self-identity
- A learning/education tool
- A tool for changing behaviour
- An organizational improvement device
- A socio-cultural construct

15 Initial Suggestions for Improving FB

- Some ideas seemed uncontroversial
- But when you look in health care, they aren't being consistently (or ever) applied
- These 'Low-hanging fruit' issues could be used to improve health feedback interventions NOW

Generated the 15 based on:

- Interview data
- Data from existing reviews
- Study group discussion and experience

Annals of Internal Medicine

ACADEMIA AND THE PROFESSION

Practice Feedback Interventions: 15 Suggestions for Optimizing Effectiveness

Jamie C. Brehaut, PhD; Heather L. Colquhoun, PhD; Kevin W. Eva, PhD; Kelly Carroll, MA; Anne Sales, PhD; Susan Michie, PhD; Noah Ivers, MD, PhD; and Jeremy M. Grimshaw, MD, PhD

Electronic practice data are increasingly being used to provide feedback to encourage practice improvement. However, evidence suggests that despite decades of experience, the effects of such interventions vary greatly and are not improving over time. Guidance on providing more effective feedback does exist, but it is distributed across a wide range of disciplines and theoretical perspectives.

Through expert interviews; systematic reviews; and experience with providing, evaluating, and receiving practice feedback, 15 suggestions that are believed to be associated with effective feedback interventions have been identified. These

suggestions are intended to provide practical guidance to quality improvement professionals, information technology developers, educators, administrators, and practitioners who receive such interventions. Designing interventions with these suggestions in mind should improve their effect, and studying the mechanisms underlying these suggestions will advance a stagnant literature.

Ann Intern Med. 2016;164:435-441. doi:10.7326/M15-2248 www.annals.org For author affiliations, see end of text.

This article was published at www.annals.org on 23 February 2016.

Nature of the action sought

F	eedback Interventions should	Example Intervention Changes	Evidence
1.	Recommend actions consistent with established goals & priorities	Coordinating with ongoing initiatives; collect pilot data on need, salience, justifiability of the behaviour	Interviews
2.	Recommend actions that have room to improve	Target FB to under-performers	Cochrane
3.	Recommend specific actions	Implementation intentions	Interviews

Nature of the data available for feedback

ı	eedback Interventions should	Example Intervention Changes	Evidence
4.	Be provided multiple times	Replace one off feedback with regular feedback	Review: 24% once, 24% unclear
5.	Be provided as soon as possible, dependent on number of patient cases	Increase frequency/decrease interval of feedback for outcomes with many patient cases	Review: Only 6% provided data within days
6.	Provide individual rather than general data	Provide practitioner- specific rather than hospital-specific data	Review: 58% individual provider, 25% individual patient cases
7.	Choose comparators that reinforce desired behaviour change	Choose 1 comparator rather than several	Cochrane: 49% others' performance only, 26% unclearly reported

Display of the feedback

F	eedback Interventions should	Example Intervention Changes	Evidence
8.	Closely link the visual display and summary message	Put summary messages in close proximity to the graphical or numerical data supporting it	Interviews: human factors literature
9.	Provide feedback in more than 1 way	Present key messages textually and numerically	Cochrane
10	. Minimize extraneous cognitive load for feedback recipients	Eliminate unnecessary 3-D graphical elements, increase white space, clarify instructions, target fewer outcomes	Interviews; human factors literature

Delivering the feedback intervention

	Feedback Interventions should	Example Intervention Changes	Evidence	
11.	Address barriers to feedback use	Assess barriers before feedback provision, incorporate fb into care pathway rather than providing it outside of care	Cochrane: E.g. action plans, coping strategies	
12.	Provide short, actionable messages followed by optional detail	Put key messages/variables on front page; additional detail in subsequent materials	Interviews	
13.	Address credibility of the information	Feedback from trusted local champion, colleague, rather than research team; increase transparency of data sources; disclose conflicts of interest	Interviews	
14.	Prevent defensive reactions to feedback	Incentives for improved performance; positive messaging along with negative; 'feedforward' discussions	Interviews: e.g., prevent discounting of feedback	
15.	Construct feedback through social interaction	Encourage self-assessment around target behaviours prior to receiving fb; engage in dialogue with peers as fb is provided	Interviews: Medical education literature	

But the 15 are just the tip of the iceberg

- Interviewed experts on feedback from Psychology (social, health, cognitive, organizational), Education, Human Factors, Medical Education, Economics, Management
- Identified 300+ hypotheses about how health care feedback might be improved/optimized

HOW TO TEST 300+ HYPOTHESES ABOUT FEEDBACK?


Prioritize the Hypotheses

Mudit and Feedback Hypotheses Prioritization Exercise				
Participant ID:		Instructions Demographics Prioritization Exercise Summary	Logo	
		Prioritization Exercise 4 of 50 selected (from 216)	
Theme	#	Feedback will be more effective	Selected (optional comment)	
Opportunity Costs	1	when there are few costs to change behaviour.		
	2	if information about opportunity costs is included.		
	3	if opportunity costs of engaging with the feedback are taken into account.		
Feedback Specificity	4	if individual level provider data is provided.		
	5	Effectiveness of feedback decreases according to the size of the provider group it summarizes increases.		
	6	when it provides information on the appropriateness of individual decisions, not just frequency of behaviours.		
	7	if it is structured according to the most relevant data unit (e.g. individual, practice).		
	8	Feedback interventions will be more effective if individual level data is worded as a recommendation (e.g., in most cases, doing x is the best course of action) and aggregate level data is prescriptive (e.g., the guidelines states to do x).		
	9	if patient-specific information is provided.		
	10	if any social comparisons focus on specific individual patient cases rather than broad practice patterns.		
Next 10				

Pårtnering with organizations that provide feedback – Implementation Laboratories

- E.g. Health Quality Ontario
- E.g. Eastern Ontario Regional Laboratory Association (**EORLA**)
- Conducts all in-hospital laboratory testing for 16 hospitals in Eastern Ontario
- ~12 million tests per year
- Providing feedback about appropriate/inappropriate test ordering

IMPLEMENTATION META-LABORATORIES







Thank You!

Jamie C. Brehaut jbrehaut@ohri.ca

For the Study Group

- Heather Colquhoun (Co-PI)
- Kelly Carroll (Coordinator)
- Kevin Eva
- Jeremy Grimshaw
- Noah Ivers
- Susan Michie
- Anne Sales

Funding from: CIHR MOP # 130354



So how to test 300+ hypotheses about feedback?

Summary of Your 50 Choices			
Theme	Feedback will be more effective	Comment	
Cognitive Load	if the information explaining the audit and feedback is clear and unambiguous.		
	if the interpretation to be drawn from the comparison to benchmark is made clear and explicit.		
	if it provides a visually clear target rate.		
Remove Barriers	if it addresses barriers and facilitations (drivers) to behaviour change.		
Feedback Specificity	if individual level provider data is provided.		
	if patient-specific information is provided.		
Trustworthiness/Credibility	if the feedback is provided by a trusted source.		
	if data come from sources similar to the recipient's clinical practice.		
	when recommendations related to the feedback are based on good quality evidence.		
	when origin of benchmarks is made clear.		
	if individuals persuade themselves that the message is credible.		
Justify Need For Behaviour Change	if accompanied by information about the importance of the behavior change.		
Attack On Self-Identity	when it does not imply fault.		
	if it is non-punitive.		
	if it elicits a clear affective response		